Writing learning outcomes which enable the validation of non formal and informal learning



Prerequisites for VNFIL

- An applicant
- Learning outcomes
- Assessment criteria

- Transparent system of RPL
- Counselling available
- Competent assessors



The content of learning outcome

Main content

- Verb defines the level of understanding; shows the depth of competences (SOLO)
- Object an aim the activities are directed (write an essay; build a robot; manage a project; teach children)

Limitations

- Adverb shows the depth of competences
- Context shows the extent of the competences



The flexibility of learning outcome

- There should not be any unnecessary limitations in learning outcomes about specific theories, approach to the task or for example used software
- These limitations are acceptable only if the competences are so specific, that there is only one possible way to do things
- The main idea is, that gained/proved competences count, not the <u>exact way</u> of achieving the correct final result



Assessment criteria

- Answer the question "How?" and hold the information about the conditions the competences should be demonstrated/proved
- Linked to the learning outcomes (the treshold level express the same extent and depth), not to the assessment methods
- Criteria are the same as in formal learning BUT methods can be different, they often base on the evidence the applicant brings
- The "type" of the assessment method dues to LO verb, can be either knowledge or skills centered

Comprehensive assessment

Takes into account the fact that the learning outcomes (also assessment criteria) may have different weight

If so, that information should be available to the applicants

■ General competences – usually not written into units (subject, module) learning outcomes

When should they be assessed?

Where should they be written into?

